

Unified Long Term Care Budget: Care Management Sub Committee

MINUTES

DATE **1/17/08** TIME **1:00-4:00** Place: **ODA**

	Name	Name
ATTENDEES	Glenda Collins	Joe Ruby
	Kim Donica	Suzanne Shelpman
	Beth Foster	Bev Tatro
	Janet Grant	Sharon Williams
	Jenni Harris	Judy Patterson
	Dawn Kennedy	
	Brett Kirkpatrick	
	Sheri Kiser	
	Sue Howson for Diana Kubovcik	
	Marti McParland	
	Erin Pettegrew	
	Larke Recchie	
	ABSENT	Sara Abbott
Angei Bergesfurd		Steve Schnabl
Donna Conley		Gwen Tomey
Fonda Dawkins		Lucretia Vonderheide
Diane Dietz		Susan Wallace
Representative Shannon Jones		
Belle Likover		
Rose Ann Lonsway		

Agenda topics

DISCUSSION	Review Minutes and Action Items from 12/20/07	
	The subcommittee was given the opportunity to edit/discuss the 12/20/07 meeting minutes previously distributed via email.	
CONCLUSIONS	Minutes were approved. The only action item, posting minutes to the website, from the 12/20/07 meeting, was completed.	
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Post minutes from 12/20 meeting on the website	Judy Patterson	-

DISCUSSION	Update on the Unified Long Term Care Budget Work Group
CONCLUSIONS	The workgroup met on 1/10/08. Data on service usage was reviewed and subcommittee updates given. The workgroup will hear presentations and recommendations from each subcommittee. The workgroup will determine which recommendations will be accepted and included in the final report to the governor's office. The report should address the following considerations:
	Is the recommendation specific to a particular phase of the Unified Long Term Care budget or a starting point for all 4 phases?
	What, if anything, can be said about the fiscal impact of the recommendation(s)?
	The subcommittees will have the opportunity to review, but not comment on, the recommendations of other groups and it is possible recommendations from the subcommittees will either overlap or be in conflict.

	<p>The Consumer director subcommittee made the first presentation to the workgroup on 1/10/08, offering 27 recommendations for consideration.</p> <p>Upon completion of the subcommittee presentations, the workgroup will present the recommendations at various venues across the state. The group is currently exploring the most effective and efficient strategies (ex: town meetings, video conferences) to present the recommendations and create opportunities for additional input.</p> <p>Next Unified Long Term Care Budget Workgroup Meeting: 2/7/08</p>	
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
N/A		

DISCUSSION	<p>Review and Discussion of Draft Recommendations</p> <p>Handouts Care management Subcommittee Recommendations- 1st draft Consumer directed subcommittee recommendations addressing care management</p> <p>The impact of Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 pertaining to targeted case management was discussed. The subcommittee's recommendations won't focus on or be shaped by potential funding source changes.</p> <p>The group worked off of a document sent from Steve Schnabl on 1/17/08 which included his comments on the first draft.</p> <p><u>Definition of Care Management</u></p> <p>The discussion focused on the desired outcome of a consistent care management definition, the value of understanding the context care management is occurring in, and the importance of keeping the definition consumer-focused.</p> <p><u>Role of the Care Manager</u></p> <p>The discussion focused on the distinction between an informed navigator and a care manager, the difference between the gatekeeper and service authorization role of the care manager, where "front door" activities end and "care management" begins.</p> <p><u>Who Benefits from Care Management</u></p> <p>The discussion focused primarily on how care management services would be provided to consumers in a nursing facility setting, particularly the scope of responsibilities of the care manager and the role/responsibilities of the nursing facility.</p> <p>Areas identified for further discussion/research include:</p> <p>Distinction between Service/Care Plan implementation and Management of Medicaid Services Care Management for Medicare/Private Pay consumers in a NF setting</p> <p>Potential care management interventions in this setting include: identifying individuals residing in a NF that could receive services in a less restrictive setting and employing a palliative care model, when appropriate.</p> <p>Models of care management in nursing facilities identified included Oregon, Evercare, and SummaCare.</p> <p><u>Review of Consumer Directed subcommittee Recommendations addressing care management discussion</u></p>
------------	---

	<p>The group reviewed the 5 recommendations of the Consumer Directed subcommittee. Discussion focused on the difference between the terms “person-centered planning” and “consumer-directed care”.</p>	
<p>CONCLUSIONS</p>	<p><u>Definition of Care Management Decisions</u> The desired outcome of consistent definition is to ensure care management happens for all consumers receiving long term cares services and supports NOT to require every provider of a long term care service of support to provide care management.</p> <p>The group agreed culturally competent care management is essential and should be included in the definition.</p> <p><u>Role of the Care Manager Decisions</u></p> <p>The informed navigator function is to provide information and assistance to a consumer <u>prior to</u> entering the long term care system.</p> <p>The consumer receives care management upon accessing long term care services As care needs change, the care manager links the consumers with appropriate service without returning the consumer to the “front door”.</p> <p>The purpose of the gatekeeper activity is to determine eligibility for a long term care service or support and is a function of the informed navigator.</p> <p>The purpose of the service authorization activity is to order and monitor the delivery of a long term care service for support and is the responsibility of the care manager.</p> <p>The narrative should include a statement that the care manager should not be a provider of another service.</p> <p><u>Who Benefits from Care Management Decisions</u></p> <p>Any consumer receiving a long term care service/support that is under the jurisdiction of the Unified Long Term Care Budget workgroup.</p> <p><u>Review of Consumer Directed subcommittee Recommendations addressing care management discussion</u></p> <p>Recommendations 1-2 are addressed in the Care Management definition developed by the sub-committee. The inclusion of the term “culturally competent” ensures the consumer/family’s needs and preferences are considered.</p> <p>Recommendation 3 is addressed in the Role of the Care Manager. The evaluator function of a care manager incorporates monitoring and communication activities to ensure health and welfare.</p> <p>Recommendations 4 and 5 are outside of the scope of the care management subcommittee.</p> <p><u>Presentation Format</u> The presentation to the workgroup will include the final narrative report, a separate “bullet point” list attachment stating the final recommendations, and a power point presentation that mirrors the attachment.</p>	
<p>ACTION ITEMS</p>	<p>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</p>	<p>DEADLINE</p>
<p>Submit comments/language for the draft report to Judy Patterson Distribute a copy of the Michigan Long Term Care report</p>	<p>Subcommittee members Janet Grant</p>	<p>1/22/08 cob</p>

Provide an introduction/description of the long term care service delivery system to "set the stage" for the care management discussion	Sharon Williams/Joe Ruby	1/31/08
DISCUSSION	Next Meeting	
CONCLUSIONS	1/31/08 1:00-4:00 Tentative Agenda: Review of draft recommendation Resolution of outstanding items	
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
N/A		
DISCUSSION		
CONCLUSIONS		
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE